

APPENDIX 6

A	Cllr Alexis McEvoy
B	Mr Simon Verdon
C	Mr Sorrill
D	Mr Bryant
E	Ward
F	Mr Robert Gray
G	Mr and Mrs N Hunt
H	Ms Jane Banting

Item A

From: [Cllr Alexis McEvoy](#)
To: [Christa Ferguson](#)
Subject: Re: Application: Grant of Premises Licence (S17) Ref: LICPR/21/02953 -
Date: 08 July 2021 21:47:24

Dear Christa,

I'm afraid I haven't changed my view.

Kind regards

Councillor Alexis McEvoy BSc(Hons)

County Councillor for South Waterside
District Councillor for Fawley, Blackfield, Langley & Calshot

From: Christa Ferguson <Christa.Ferguson@NFDC.GOV.UK>
Sent: Tuesday, July 6, 2021 6:10 pm
To: Cllr Alexis McEvoy
Subject: RE: Application: Grant of Premises Licence (S17) Ref: LICPR/21/02953 -

Thank you for your submission Cllr McEvoy

As you have objected to this application it will require determination by Members of a Licensing Sub Committee. Before we arrange a hearing I have supplied additional information intended to provide a fuller picture of the application and the intention of the applicant, which you may not be aware of.

Attached are

- 1 The list of conditions that would be attached to any licence granted in order to promote the licensing objectives
- 2 Site plan and
- 3 Additional information submitted on the application form

No Objections have been received from any Responsible Authority (police, environmental health etc)

If you wish to continue with your objection could you please confirm this by email as soon as possible. You will then be informed of the hearing date and subsequent arrangements. Conversely if you wish to withdraw your objection could you confirm this by email.

Regards
Christa

From: Cllr Alexis McEvoy <alexis.mcevoy@NFDC.gov.uk>
Sent: 16 June 2021 20:16
To: Licensing e-mail address <Licensing@NFDC.gov.uk>
Cc: Cllr Alan Alvey <Alan.Alvey@NFDC.gov.uk>
Subject: Re: Application: Grant of Premises Licence (S17) Ref: LICPR/21/02953 -

Dear Ms Ferguson,

I would like to object strongly to this application for a premises license.

The site only has one vehicular access/egress gate onto a narrow one direction road. It is surrounded by private houses on one side, beach huts on a second side a small council housing estate on a third side and three accommodation blocks for workers at Calshot Activities Centre on the fourth side.

The site has pitches for 100 tents and the restaurant/bar would be open to the public.

I am strongly of the view that granting a license would encourage serious public safety issues and constitute a real public nuisance. It is expected there could be an influx of several hundred "happy campers" at any one time which will more than double the entire population of Calshot. The risk of increased petty crime is inevitable.

To allow the purchase of alcohol and onsite drinking from 11am to 11pm would be highly irresponsible and with the sea on the edge of the site, the possible consequences are there for all to see. I would not like to see NFDC sited as complicit in any drownings.

I strongly object to NFDC issuing any site license to this glamping site.

Kind regards

Councillor Alexis McEvoy BSc (Hons)
New Forest District Councillor for Fawley, Blackfield, Langley & Calshot
Hampshire County Councillor for the South Waterside

From: licensing@nfdc.gov.uk <licensing@nfdc.gov.uk>

Sent: Wednesday, June 16, 2021 9:40:25 AM

To: Cllr Alexis McEvoy <alexis.mcevoy@NFDC.gov.uk>

Subject: Application: Grant of Premises Licence (S17) Ref: LICPR/21/02953 -

Please see attached for your kind attention.

Licensing Services

New Forest District Council

ITEM B

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Christa Ferguson](#)
Subject: RE: Licensing Act -Grant of Premises Licence (S17) Ref: LICPR/21/02953
Date: 08 July 2021 16:18:38
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[image004.png](#)

Dear Christa,

Thank you for this additional detail which is helpful but doesn't fully address our concerns so I wish to continue with our objection.

I look forward to hearing from you when you have set a date for a hearing.

Yours sincerely

Simon

Simon Verdon FRICS
Head of Land and Property

[REDACTED]

The Landmark Trust Shottesbrooke Maidenhead Berkshire SL6 3SW
Charity registered in England & Wales 243312 and in Scotland SC039205
Office: 01628 825920

Join us in-person or online at one of our [events](#)
www.landmarktrust.org.uk



From: Christa Ferguson <Christa.Ferguson@NFDC.GOV.UK>
Sent: 06 July 2021 18:11
To: Simon Verdon [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Licensing Act -Grant of Premises Licence (S17) Ref: LICPR/21/02953

Thank you for your submission Mr Verdon

As you have objected to this application it will require determination by Members of a Licensing Sub Committee. Before we arrange a hearing I have supplied additional information intended to provide a fuller picture of the application and the intention of the applicant, which you may not be aware of.

Attached are

- 1 The list of conditions that would be attached to any licence granted in order to promote the licensing objectives
- 2 Site plan and

3 Additional information submitted on the application form

No Objections have been received from any Responsible Authority (police, environmental health etc)

If you wish to continue with your objection could you please confirm this by email as soon as possible. You will then be informed of the hearing date and subsequent arrangements. Conversely if you wish to withdraw your objection could you confirm this by email.

Regards
Christa

From: Simon Verdon [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 June 2021 14:40
To: Licensing e-mail address <Licensing@NFDC.gov.uk>
Subject: Licensing Act -Grant of Premises Licence (S17) Ref: LICPR/21/02953

Dear Crista

Licensing Act 2003 - Grant of Premises Licence (S17)
Premises: FIELD, CALSHOT BEACH EAST, CALSHOT
Ref: LICPR/21/02953

The Landmark Trust is a historic buildings charity which rescues and restores architecturally or historically important property which it then lets as short stay accommodation. It acquired and subsequently restored the Grade II* Luttrell's Tower adjacent to the above site in 1968. It has been let for holidays ever since with guests choosing the location for its history as well as its relatively undisturbed location. The attached history sheet provides a summary of the importance of the building.

We strongly oppose this application as it will infringe or violate three of your four licensing objectives:

1. Prevention of crime and disorder
 2. Public Safety
 3. Prevention of public nuisance
-
1. Luttrell's Tower already suffers from regular incursions by inquisitive beach users and others resulting in continuous although low level nuisance. Our historic steps and gates, which front on to the beach, are frequently used for illicit drinking and barbecues in the summer months with users leaving behind empty cans and often broken bottles – which if not cleared up would be a hazard to other beach users, especially children. We carry out frequent litter picks in this area and also along our land at the foot of the cliff which borders the subject site. Much effort is put in by us and more by our neighbours at Eaglehurst in stopping trespass, the lighting of fires, the building of camps and other uses while ensuring the clearing away of the debris left behind which often includes human

waste.

2. The license application on your website only seems to contain information about the time of operation with no comment on the numbers of people who might use the facility. Our understanding is that there will be more than 100 tents on site each with the capacity to sleep between two and six people. Assuming an average of two adults per tent suggests there might be up to 200 people on site and possibly more. Furthermore we understand that local residents (see attached letter) will be invited to participate in the facility and are being offered discounts. This appears to be a very large number of people and with only 80 parking spaces on site is likely to see parking on adjacent roads which are already fully used in the summer and will lead to considerable issues on the single track, one way access. No allowance has been made for emergency vehicle access.
3. Inevitably, if the local behaviour we have experienced before is repeated, we will see users of the site spilling out on to the beach and into the adjoining woodland, forming our mutual boundary, leading to trespass and vandalism. We have raised these concerns with the owner of the land but their response to the more relevant points are at odds with their submission to you.

One of the charms of Luttrell's is its setting; it lies well away from any major roads or other noise disturbance but this suggested use of the neighbouring land will severely impact upon this peace and quiet. As we have sold holidays on this basis we will need to inform guests that their stay may be compromised which will lead to us having to return funds. These funds would no longer be available for our core charitable purpose of saving buildings.

We have not seen the pale blue notice being displayed.

Yours sincerely

Simon

Simon Verdon FRICS
Head of Land and Property

The Landmark Trust Shottesbrooke Maidenhead Berkshire SL6 3SW
Charity registered in England & Wales 243312 and in Scotland SC039205
Office: 01628 825920

Join us in-person or online at one of our [events](#)

www.landmarktrust.org.uk

The Landmark Trust

LUTTRELL'S TOWER, EAGLEHURST, SOUTHAMPTON

Luttrell's Tower was built in around 1780 for Temple Simon Luttrell, owner of the Eaglehurst Estate at that time. For a long time on stylistic grounds, the tower's architect was thought to be James Wyatt. However, in 1990 Roger White of the Georgian Group recognised the tower in a drawing at Vassar Art Gallery in New York State, by architect Thomas Sandby. Thomas, whose brother was the better known Paul Sandby, designed few buildings. Comparison of the drawing with Luttrell's Tower proved the tower to be by Thomas Sandby, the only one of his buildings known to survive. It is built in the so-called Gothick style, made fashionable by Horace Walpole's house at Strawberry Hill in Twickenham, a whimsical harking back to the forms of the Middle Ages.

In the eighteenth century, the tower was known both as Eaglehurst, after the estate on which it stood, and Luttrell's Folly, for it belongs to that class of buildings that are built more for fun than serious intent. This tower, however, was more substantial than most follies, since it contained bedrooms and kitchens as well as a fine top floor with views across the Solent to the Isle of Wight. It seems even then it was used as a retreat for the family. An account written in 1790 tells us that 'Several subterraneous passages lead from the area to a number of marquees, to which the family retires when the turbulence of the weather renders a residence in the house disagreeable. In these tents there are several beds, and also a kitchen. The house being small, these retreats are both cool and agreeable. At their backs stands a yew hedge, which protects them from the severity of the north and north-west winds. From hence another passage leads to a bathing house on the beach. All these retreats are well bricked and floored: but so very wet at times that they are impassable'.¹

¹J Hassall, *Tour of the Isle of Wight* (1790)

Temple Simon Luttrell belonged to a colourful and well-connected Irish family. His father, Simon Temple Luttrell, was created Earl of Carhampton in 1785. Temple Simon Luttrell had two notorious sisters, Anne and Elizabeth. Anne married George IV's younger brother, the foolish Duke of Cumberland, who employed Thomas Sandby as his deputy for his own role as Ranger of Windsor Park, which may have been how the commission at Eaglehurst came about. Elizabeth 'played high and cheated much', which was no doubt what led her to be imprisoned in a debtors prison and convicted as a pickpocket in Bavaria. Temple Simon Luttrell had quite an eventful life himself, including being arrested by revolutionaries in Boulogne in 1793, who exhibited him as the captured brother of the King of England. We do not know for sure why he built the folly. Local tradition claims he built it for smuggling, with its underground tunnel to the beach. Graffiti in the tunnel suggests it may predate the tower, so perhaps there was earlier smuggling activity here. Certainly, smuggling was rife along this part of the coast in the eighteenth century, but there is no firm evidence that our Luttrell was a smuggler.

After Luttrell's death in 1803, the tower came into the ownership of the 7th Earl of Cavan, a distinguished soldier in the Napoleonic Wars and commander of the British army in Egypt. It was he who brought back the enormous pair of feet at the top of the steps down to the beach, though to be the base of a statue of Ramses II of the XIXth dynasty, perhaps brought back as ballast in a supply ship. It was Cavan who built the house at Eaglehurst, one of the first houses in England of any size to be built as a bungalow. The future Queen Victoria, visiting when she was fourteen in 1833, was very taken by it. 'They live entirely on the ground floor like tents', she wrote in her Journal. She was also impressed by Lord Cavan's mummy, a piece of whose linen wrapping she was given to keep. Later, as queen, Victoria seriously considered buying the house at Eaglehurst as her seaside residence before finally deciding on Osborne House on the Isle of Wight. In 1844, the 8th Earl sold the estate and tower to a local, Dr Drummond, who bought it to prevent its development as part of the seaside boom. For the next hundred years, the house and tower formed the venues for smart parties thrown by a succession of tenants.

The most famous of these tenants was Guglielmo Marconi, pioneer of radio, who rented the tower from 1911 to 1916 because it was conveniently close to another station near the Needles. He used the top room of the tower as a radio laboratory and would disappear there for hours. His daughter recalled that the family dog once bit him as a stranger. She also recalled climbing to the very top of the tower with her mother, to wave a red scarf to the Titanic as she sailed from Southampton, on her doomed first and only voyage.

The next tenants, Sir Guy and Lady Granet, commissioned architect Clough Williams-Ellis (who built Portmeirion in North Wales) to design the steps from the tower down to the beach. As Williams-Ellis also recalled in a letter in 1975, he 'had the fun of restoring & embellishing the Gothick tower folly & surroundings & contriving a "perspective" garden etc.' During the Second World War, the RAF requisitioned the Tower as a lookout, removing its white flag pole as too conspicuous to the enemy. After the war, the tower was bought by Colonel Gates (of Cow & Gate) who repaired it and made some minor alterations. In 1965, he made the tower habitable year round by installing a modern bathroom and kitchen, laying wooden floors and replacing all the chimney pieces except that on the ground floor. The cellar was plastered and painted, the wine bins built, the sea tunnel re-opened and the iron gates re-hung. The top room, which Marconi had used, was restored: the plasterwork was re-done and the shell frieze put back with new shells and the room became Colonel Gates's bedroom.

After all this work, relatively little needed to be done by the Landmark Trust when we acquired the tower in 1968 (the main house and gardens are privately owned). Under architect Philip Jebb's direction, the tower's bathroom was made to exit onto the stairs rather than through the first floor bedroom and a new loo was constructed off the stairs. A new kitchen was put in on the top floor, where there had been an en suite bathroom to the Colonel bedroom, and the sitting room was moved from the ground floor to this top floor. The front door was moved back to the bottom of the stair turret, having been replaced earlier by French windows into the garden from the ground floor room.

Luttrell's Tower's exposed position by the sea makes it a difficult and expensive building to maintain and it requires close and regular attention. A major repair and refurbishment campaign was carried out in 2003/4, giving greater emphasis to the tower's Georgian origins in its decoration. In 2010, new, specially designed wrought iron gates were installed leading down to the beach. Luttrell's Tower continues to be one of the most popular of Landmark buildings and has brought great enjoyment to countless visitors over the decades it has been in Landmark's care.

The Landmark Trust is a building preservation charity that rescues historic buildings at risk and lets them for holidays. Luttrell's Tower sleeps up to 4 people. To book the building or any other Landmark property for a holiday, please contact us.

ITEM C



Dear Neighbour,

I'm sure that you are aware that we are running The Pop-Up hotel site on the Calshot Field at Cadland estate this summer. As our build teams are starting to arrive on-site I wanted to take this opportunity to touch base with you and give you the opportunity to talk to myself, or my team, about any concerns / questions you might have.

In summary, to provide UK holiday opportunities for families and couples we are hosting a high quality pre-erected hotel site for those who are looking to enjoy the beautiful New Forest and surrounding areas.

As a resident I should imagine that you are very proud of your local area but you may have reservations about the impact that the site may have on you.

I would like to reassure you that we have an experienced team who will be building and running the site but, if at any point, you have concerns or questions then you are welcome to speak to the site manager or call me on [REDACTED]

All of our guests have signed up to our terms and conditions which include standards of behaviour. They are reminded of these in the welcome information that they will receive just before their stay.

Our guests will be identifiable by hotel wristbands and, if at any point, their conduct falls short of what's expected then they will be removed from site. We don't anticipate this being a problem due to the demographic of the audience but we always have standards and processes to address just in case.

To show our appreciation of your patience, and to give you the opportunity to ask questions and tour the site, we would like to invite you and your family to visit the site on the evening of the 6th July for complimentary drinks and finger food. If you would like to attend then please let my team know by emailing enquiries@thepopuphotel.com :

- your name; and
- how many people from your residence will be attending

In addition, we will be extending you a 15% discount on all food and beverage for you in our restaurant whilst we are at Cadland, and access to a priority booking service. We will be carrying out a full debrief in early September and I will welcome your feedback on the site and its operation.

Kind Regards,

[REDACTED]
Mark Sprill
Owner and Founder

ITEM D

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Christa Ferguson](#)
Cc: [McEvoy, Cllr A](#)
Subject: RE: Objection to Grant of Premises Licence (S17) Ref: LICPR/21/02953
Date: 08 July 2021 17:13:42

Dear Ms Ferguson

Thank you for your prompt response. I note that there has been no objection from any Responsible Authority, but I am aware of objections from Responsible Residents, who have more to suffer than the Responsible Authorities, who live elsewhere and are not troubled by the noise and disturbance. I do not wish to withdraw my objection.

Yours sincerely

James Bryant

At 16:53 07/07/2021, you wrote:-

Thank you for your email Mr Bryant

If we receive a relevant objection to a premises licence, we must arrange a hearing where Members of a Licensing Sub Committee will determine the application. Before we arrange a hearing I have supplied additional information intended to provide a fuller picture of the application and the intention of the applicant, which you may not be aware of.

Attached are

- 1 The list of conditions that would be attached to any licence granted in order to promote the licensing objectives
- 2 Site plan and
- 3 Additional information submitted on the application form

No Objections have been received from any Responsible Authority (police, environmental health etc)

If you wish to continue with your objection could you please confirm this by email as soon as possible. You will then be invited to the hearing date and subsequent arrangements.

Conversely if you wish to withdraw your objection could you confirm this by email.

It should also be noted that the applicant is able to run the venture (subject to limitations) using Temporary Event Notices, I have attached guidance about these as well.

Regards
Christa

-----Original Message-----

From: James M. BRYANT [REDACTED]
Sent: 07 July 2021 16:27

To: Christa Ferguson <Christa.Ferguson@NFDC.GOV.UK>
Cc: McEvoy, Cllr A <CllrA.McEvoy@hants.gov.uk>
Subject: Objection to Grant of Premises Licence (S17) Ref: LICPR/21/02953

Dear Ms Ferguson

I have sent the letter below to you, and separately to Environmental Health Services - Licensing, by mail, as the notice advising locals of the application says that objections must be made in writing and I am uncertain whether an email counts, but I am sending it as an email as well to ensure that it reaches you promptly:-

I am writing about the application for the grant of a licence to permit supply of alcohol for consumption on the premises between 11:00 and 23:00 hours daily until the 30th August 2021 at the premises known as Calshot Field, Part of the Cadland Estate, Jack Maynard Road, Calshot, Near Lymington. I was yesterday advised by the organisers of the glamping that non-residents will be welcome at the restaurant - and of course will therefore need to come and go, adding to the traffic.

The only entrance to Calshot Field is on the right-angled corner of the narrow one-way section of the B3053, less than 20 metres from my house. Allowing the sale of alcohol to diners until 11 p.m. with the restaurant closed at 11:30 means that there will be noisy traffic until midnight or later. My wife has recently recovered from chemotherapy and needs all the sleep she can get - which will not be much with such a disturbance.

I therefore wish to oppose the grant of this licence. I am strongly opposed to allowing glamping in Calshot Field at all in view the major disturbance caused to a peaceful village by the heavy commercial traffic involved in establishing, maintaining, and disestablishing the site, but since this seems to be irrevocable I suppose it is not unreasonable to allow the glampers to have drinks with their meals. But a licence to 11 p.m. is far too late for a "family" glampsite - I suggest that most families with children would have finished dinner by 9 p.m. and a licence between 11:00 and 21:00 is more appropriate. If you do not refuse to issue the licence, as I would wish, please limit the hours to those causing less disturbance to Calshot's permanent residents.

Yours sincerely

James Bryant

EurIng James Bryant C.Eng. MIET
Hillhead Cottage
Calshot Road - Calshot
SOUTHAMPTON SO45 1BR
England



ITEM E

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Christa Ferguson](#)
Subject: Objections to Premises License application no LICPR/21/02953
Date: 07 July 2021 23:43:12
Attachments: [Castle Lane Entrance.pdf](#)

Dear Ms Ferguson

I am a resident of Calshot and I would like to lodge an objection to the application referenced above in relation to the pop up camping site in Calshot

My objection is related to public safety and the prevention of a public nuisance

There are a number of public safety issues . in terms of road safety the very restricted nature of the one road that serves as access to Calshot and the access to the pop up camping site. During the summer this road is extremely busy with cars and pedestrians all converging at the junction of Castle Lane . Throughout the year it is common on a daily basis for people visiting the beach to drive the wrong way up the one way system both day and night in addition to the traffic coming from the village to the beach and cars coming around the one way system leaving the beach . We are very aware of this as the cars pass the fence our garden and its is common knowledge that there are vehicles coming the wrong way up the one way system as this is a regular occurrence . There are always a number of near misses in a normal summer .In addition there are people walking back and forth to the village and their parked cars along this road . There are no pavements , but a marked walkway in the road , which a number of people ignore and walk in the road and which the cars entering the one way system coming to the beach frequently mistake for a driving lane . The addition of a weekly influx of the extra traffic with people coming to the camping site , plus the daily lorry deliveries of food supplies , waste removal etc will add to this already dangerous situation. There is the added problem with the additional traffic and delivery trucks blocking the access road to the beach, Calshot Activity Centre and RNLI station preventing access for fire engines , RNLI response vehicles and ambulances responding to an emergency call. During the set up of the site over the last 3 weeks we have had several traffic stand stills with trucks blocking the road for between 15 mins and on one occasion 35 minutes.

I attach a crude illustration of the issues I am trying to convey.

There are 72 tents erected on the site with accommodation for between 4 and 9 people each , meaning that there could be an additional 150 – 200 pedestrians in addition to the traffic increase. I have been unable to get any response from the local authority or highways to these issues which I raised in early June. And I understand that the site organisers have had no contact with any of the services.

My other public safety concern is in relation to the potential fire hazard related to the restaurant cooking facilities and general fire hazard associated with a camping site in the New Forest. I am informed that there has been no fire risk assessment done to assess whether there are appropriate fire safety precautions in place and there has been no contact with the Fire Service in relation to this. This is especially concerning with wooden and canvas structures throughout the site.

In terms of the public nuisance the license application is for the facilities to be open from 11am

to 11pm. I feel this is entirely inappropriate as the site backs onto the a residential area and I understand that in addition to residents on the site the facilities will be open to non residents . The lighting and the generators are already in place and are running 24/7 which can already be seen and heard from our gardens . Add to this the noise of at least 100 - 150 adults and children constitutes a public nuisance to the people who live here.

I would be grateful if you could confirm that you are the correct person for me to address the issues with and if this is not the appropriate way to make my objections ,please can you advise to whom I can address this.

Many thanks

Jan Ward CBE





ITEM F

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Christa Ferguson](#)
Cc: [REDACTED] [Cllr Alexis McEvoy](#)
Subject: Licensing Act -Grant of Premises Licence (S17) Ref: LICPR/21/02953
Date: 08 July 2021 18:11:59
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[image004.png](#)
[General info from app form.docx](#)
[APPENDIX X conditions submitted by the applicant.docx](#)
[Appendix x Site plan.pdf](#)

Dear Ms. Ferguson,

The undersigned owns a property, Eaglehurst, which is contiguous with the Landmark Trust Lutterells Tower at Calshot. Mr. Verdon of Landmark Trust has shared the below correspondence between you relating to the application for a drinks licence on the Calshot site. For ease of consideration by NFDC I adopt and reiterate the objections raised by Landmark Trust.

Further, I note that no objection has yet be received from the police or other authority. Does the applicant have to serve notice or is this automatic?

I note, and no doubt you have noted, the internal inconsistency in the application documents (such as they are) of the requested opening hours - 11.00 vs 11.30.

Further, the proposed bar operation is to take place in a field apparently leased by the Cadland estate to Pop-Up Hotels which in tipurn is proposing to yet further sub-contract a bar operation to a contractor. Where lies the duty of care to screen contractor personnel and sub-contractor personnel in relation to the large number of children staying on the location?

I further note that the site operator is seeking to cure the want of timely application for a licence by the device of use of TEN's. Can you please confirm if you have received and application for a TEN? If so was it served on or before the 1st July if the planned event starts on 9th July? If a TEN has been applied for, was it served on the police as is mandatory and was it conforming by being in the name of an individual? If not the application is non-conforming. If a valid TEN has been applied for, and unobjected by the police, please confirm the start and end date of the 7 day term of the TEN.

I have copied this out local NFDC to Cllr. Alexis McEvoy.

Many thanks and kind regards,

Robert Gray
Eaglehurst,
Stanswood Road,
FAWLEY SO45 1BT

ITEM G

Representation form for other persons

Full name	Margaret Hunt & Nicholas Hunt	Title	Mr & Mrs
Home address	West Wing, Calshot Road, Calshot SO45 1BR (Calshot Field is opposite our garden and back of our house)		
Email address			
Phone number			

Name of premises you wish to make a representation about	Calshot Field, Part of the Cadland Estate, Jack Reynard Road, Calshot Near Lymington
Address of premises you wish to make a representation about	As above

Which of the four licensing objectives does your representation relate to?	(✓) Tick	Please add full details of your concerns regarding the application and include any evidence to support your representation. Please use separate sheets if necessary
Prevention of crime and disorder		
Public safety		
Prevention of public nuisance		We are persons living locally to the premises. This proposed licence will increase the possibility of public nuisance for the many dwellings nearby, including our own. We are concerned that activities taking place at the proposed licensed premises will have a direct impact on local residents and those who visit Calshot for the beach and local walks in this peaceful part of the New Forest National Park. We are particularly concerned about noise nuisance. The bar and

	<p>restaurant is a tented arrangement in the glamping style and as such there is no sound proofing. There is also a large open air patio area adjacent to these hospitality areas. Noise will emanate from every part of it. There is currently very little background noise in the vicinity of the premises, particularly from late afternoon/early evening when summer day trippers have departed and the only sounds are birdsong and the sea. This will change dramatically with the provision of a premises licence. The site will have up to 250 persons staying there each day. There are no nearby bars or restaurants within walking distance and so it is highly likely that the onsite bar and restaurant will be very busy every day and every evening with a considerable number of guests. Even with the best management in the world, because it is open to the air and not sound proofed, the noise of the bar and restaurant guests will carry very easily across to our houses which are adjacent to Calshot Field. The prevailing south westerly wind means that any noise will be carried directly towards nearby residents' homes. This is in high summer, a time when local residents want to sit and enjoy the peace of their gardens. They will also need to have their windows open at night to cool their houses down. The noise emanating from the bar, restaurant and patio will not be tolerable for those living so close to the site. The bar and restaurant structure and patio do not adequately provide for the prevention of public nuisance.</p> <p>The bar and restaurant will be operating into the late evening, up to 11pm. In practice this will mean that guests will continue sitting and finishing their drinks after that time before they wander off to their tents/lodges. Will they be asked to leave the hospitality section quietly and be considerate to local residents? We are similarly concerned about the possibility of an increase in noise when guests are leaving the site since the facilities will be open to others. At the end of service, will bottles etc be cleared, dropped</p>
--	---

		<p>in recycling bins? This will be a noisy operation and we would want assurance that this activity takes place at times that minimise the disturbance to nearby properties and residents. Are the extractors from the restaurant placed away from the nearby properties? Even if they are, it is likely that with the prevailing south westerly cooking smells will blow towards our houses and cause a nuisance. How will this be addressed?</p> <p>We are concerned about the use of amplified music potentially being permitted every day of the week until 11pm. The bar and restaurant tented structure is wholly inappropriate for the use of amplified music so close to nearby local residents and the wildlife of the National Park. The effect of such noise on nearby residents and the many people and wildlife enjoying the beautiful wooded landscape and beach at Calshot, part of the New Forest National Park, will be considerable.</p>
<p>Protection of children from harm</p>		

**Are there any steps or conditions which the applicant could take or add to the application which would alleviate your concerns?
If yes – please give details below**

--

If you do make a representation you will be invited to attend a Licensing Sub-Committee meeting where the application will be considered, together with any subsequent appeal proceedings.

Will you be prepared to attend a hearing?	Yes Please circle
I acknowledge that my representation will form part of a public document at a hearing, (personal telephone numbers, email addresses and signatures will be redacted)	MH & NH
Signature	date
	09/07/21

Licensing Services privacy notice can be viewed on the Council's website at newforest.gov.uk/article/1716/Licensing-Services-privacy-notice

Please return this form along with any additional sheets / supporting information to : licensing@nfdc.gov.uk or post to

Licensing Manager
Licensing Services
Appletree Court
Beaulieu Road
Lyndhurst
SO43 7PA

Phone: 023 8028 5505

ITEM H

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Christa Ferguson; Licensing e-mail address](#)
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Fwd: Cadland Pop Up Hotel
Date: 11 July 2021 13:44:04

>

> Dear Chris,

>

> I am emailing you on behalf of Calshot Lifeboat Station to express our concern about the granting of a drinks Licence to the above mentioned hotel.

> During the summer months Calshot Spit is very busy with traffic at the best of times and it can sometimes be challenging for our crews to get down to the station when tasked. We run two boats and our services are much in demand by the Coast Guard. We are concerned that granting Cadland Pop Up Hotel a drinks licence could negatively impact on us by having a large number of people under the influence of alcohol blocking the access roads and, more seriously, the increased likelihood of people getting into trouble in the water by taking part in the hotel's watersports activities whilst under the influence of alcohol.

>

> We hope you will take our view into account when considering this request.

>

> Jane Banting (on behalf of Calshot Lifeboat Station)

>

>